

PIRUS 2

Developing Practical Standards for Recording and Reporting Online Usage at the Individual Article Level

Paul Needham, Cranfield University

- Project Manager, PIRUS2

NISO Webinar

15 September 2010

PIRUS Publisher and Institutional Repository Usage Statistics

Sponsored by JISC

- UK Joint Information Systems Committee
- PIRUS 1 completed in January 2009
 - Lead by COUNTER
 - Report available at: <u>http://www.jisc.ac.uk/media/documents/programmes/pals3/pirus_finalreport.pdf</u>
- PIRUS 2, October 2009-December 2010
 - Lead by Mimas and Cranfield University
 - Primary project team members: Mimas, Cranfield, COUNTER, CrossRef, Oxford University Press



Usage statistics and journal metrics

COUNTER

- Sets the standard for vendor-generated online usage statistics
- Covers over 15,000 full-text online journals

http://www.projectCounter.org

MESUR

- Enriches the toolkit used for the assessment of the impact of scholarly communication items with usage data
- Has created a map of science based on usage data

http://www.mesur.org/

Journal Usage Factor

 Assess the feasibility of Journal Usage Factor as an alternative metric to Journal Impact Factor

http://www.uksq.org/usagefactors

PIRUS

 Aims to provide, publishers, repositories and other organizations with a common standard for measuring usage at the individual article (item) level



Increasing interest in article-level usage

- More journal articles hosted by Institutional and other Repositories
- Authors and funding agencies are increasingly interested in a reliable, global overview of usage of individual articles
- Online usage becoming an alternative, accepted measure of article and journal value
 - Knowledge Exchange report recommends developing standards for usage reporting at the individual article level
 - Usage-based metrics being considered as a tool for use in the UK Research Excellence Framework and elsewhere.



Article-level usage metrics now more practical

- Implementation by COUNTER of XML-based usage reports makes more granular reporting of usage a practical proposition
- Implementation by COUNTER of the SUSHI protocol facilitates the automated consolidation of usage data from different sources.

The challenge

- An article may be available from:-
 - The main journal web site
 - Ovid
 - ProQuest
 - PubMed Central
 - Authors' local Institutional Repositories
- If we want to assess article impact by counting usage, how can we maximise the actual usage that we capture?

PIRUS Project Mission

 To develop a global standard to enable the recording, reporting and consolidation of online usage statistics for individual journal articles hosted by Institutional Repositories, Publishers and other entities

PIRUS Project Aims

- Develop COUNTER-compliant usage reports at the individual article level
- Create guidelines which, if implemented, would enable any entity that hosts online journal articles to produce these reports
- Propose ways in which these reports might be consolidated at a global level in a standard way.



- Reliable usage data will be available for journal articles, wherever they are held
- Repositories will have access to new functionality from open source software that will allow them to produce standardised usage reports from their data
- Digital repository systems will be more integral to research and closely aligned to research workflows and environments
- The authoritative status of PIRUS2 usage statistics will enhance the status of repository data and content
- The standard can be extended to cover other categories of content stored by repositories



PIRUS1: publisher response

- Majority enthusiasm for concept
- All surveyed publishers use DOIs to identify all versions of a single published work
- Minority concern that article level reporting to institutional customers is our goal
 - It isn't
- Concern about size of any reports providing usage data at article level.
 - Not the intention of the project to recommend publishers produce reports relating to more than one article at a time



PIRUS1: repository response

GOOD NEWS

 The overwhelming majority of respondents add DOIs to their records - where they are available.

BUT......

- No standard process for allocating DOIs in IRs
- Great variation in the metadata element used to store them:
 - dc.description
 - dc.identifier
 - dc.identifier type DOI
 - dc.identifier.citation
 - dc.relation.isreferencedby
 - dc.rights
 - DOI
 - relation



PIRUS1: outputs

- A proof-of-concept COUNTER-compliant XML prototype for an individual article usage report
- A tracker code, to be implemented by repositories, that sends usage data as OpenURL Context Objects to either:
 - An external party
 - The local repository server
- A set of scenarios for collecting usage data in different repository environments
- A set of criteria for a central Clearing House that will create (where required), or collect and consolidate the usage statistics

PIRUS2: objectives

- Develop a suite of free, open access programmes to support the generation and sharing of COUNTERcompliant usage data and statistics that can be extended to cover any and all individual items in repositories
- Develop a prototype article-level publisher/repository usage statistics service
- Define a core set of standard useful statistical reports that repositories should produce for internal and external consumption



- Technical aspects of project
- Gathering ... usage data and statistics
 - For full-text article downloads (not record/abstract views)
 - From repositories and publishers
- Consolidating ...
 - In an article-level usage statistics demonstrator portal
 - Experiment and illustrate possibilities
- Re-exposing ...
 - To authorized third parties



- Three scenarios for gathering ...
 - (A) 'tracker' code a server-side 'Google Analytics' for fulltext article downloads
 - (B) OAI-PMH harvesting protocol familiar to repositories
 - (C) SUSHI Standardized Usage Statistics Harvesting Initiative Protocol – familiar to publishers



- Usage data from Repositories
- Scenarios (A) Tracker & (B) OAI-PMH
 - Usage data are exposed as:
 - (A) OpenURL Key-Value Pair Strings
 - (B) OpenURL Context Objects.
 - OpenURL approach first suggested by MESUR. Taken forward in Europe under 'Knowledge Exchange' – an initiative involving DEFF, DFG, JISC and SURFfoundation, see:

http://wiki.surffoundation.nl/display/standards/OpenURL+Context+Objects

- Usage data must be:
 - filtered according to COUNTER rules to eliminate Robots and Double clicks
 - Processed into monthly statistics



- Usage statistics from Publishers
- Scenario (C) SUSHI
 - SUSHI a SOAP-based web service used by publishers to expose COUNTER Release 3 compliant usage statistics to institutions and consortia
 - Currently operates at journal level, e.g. JR1 report: Number of Successful Full-Text Article Requests by Month and Journal
- PIRUS2 has devised a proposed COUNTER Article Report 1
 (AR1) Report: Number of Successful Full-Text Article
 Requests by Month and DOI
- Usage statistics are pre-filtered according to COUNTER rules



PIRUS2 Repository software plug-ins/extensions

- Dspace developed by @mire
- Eprints developed by Tim Brody, Southampton University
- Fedora developed by Ben O'Steen, Oxford University
- Links and downloads on PIRUS2 project web site

PIRUS2 AR1 Report

- SUSHI ultimately
- Currently working with AR1 reports in MS Excel/CSV format from participating publishers
- Draft AR1 report in MS-Excel and XML available on PIRUS2 project web site



Current situation

- Loaded data from 6 publishers
 - Over 555,000 articles
 - From 5,500 journals
- Gathering data via tracker from 3 repositories
 - Working on scripts to process and load data
- Creating user interface to demonstrate possibilities

Next

- Load data from another 2 publishers
- Extend participation by repositories
- Ongoing development and testing of user interface
- Develop SUSHI server to re-expose statistics



PIRUS2: progress so far WP5: prototype service

- Tests of publisher usage data
 - Usage data from 8 publishers flowing in
- Define functions to be fulfilled by a Central Clearing House
 - Collect, collate and store usage data
- Define capabilities required of a Central Clearing House
 - Conversion of logfiles, storage, access control, etc
- Define organizational options for a Central Clearing House
 - Global vs. local; identify candidate organizations



PIRUS2 progress so far WP5:Demonstrator

- To demonstrate basic functionality of service
 - Examples of core reports
 - Test usage data from major publishers
- Feedback sought

For access to the Demonstrator contact Paul Needham at: paul.needham11@btinternet.com



PIRUS 2: primary project team

- Ross MacIntyre (Mimas, Manchester University)
- Paul Needham (Cranfield University)
- Richard Gedye (Oxford University Press)
- Ed Pentz (CrossRef)
- Peter Shepherd (COUNTER)



http://www.cranfieldlibrary.cranfield.ac.uk/pirus2/

Thank you!